

Demographics, Skills, and the Role of Expatriate Talent in Saudi Arabia's Labor Market

Demographics, Skills, and the Role of Expatriate Talent in Saudi Arabia's Labor Market

From labor substitution to strategic complementarity under Vision 2030

Mehmet Ali Soytas, Non-Resident Fellow, GLMM

Executive Summary

Saudi Arabia's labor market transformation has entered a phase in which the central policy question is no longer whether nationals or expatriates should fill jobs in the aggregate. The more consequential question is which combinations of nationality, experience, occupation, and firm level capability will allow the Kingdom to expand high productivity non-oil activities while national employment continues to rise. The evidence assembled in this brief points to a demographic and functional complementarity. Saudi nationals are disproportionately concentrated in younger age groups and are entering the labor market with rapidly rising educational attainment and female participation. Non-Saudi workers are concentrated in the core working ages and display much higher labor force participation rates in the labor market, especially among men. Yet both populations thin at older ages, leaving a relatively narrow pool from which firms can draw long-tenured technical specialists, project leaders, and mentors.

This demographic pattern does not prove that every older expatriate is highly skilled, nor that age is a sufficient measure of productivity. It does, however, identify a structural constraint that becomes more important as economic activity shifts toward complex production, advanced services, digital systems, and large projects. Experience-intensive functions require occupational knowledge, organizational memory, professional networks, and the ability to manage rare or high-cost failures. These capabilities are accumulated through relevant work histories and are not immediately reproduced by increasing the number of new graduates. International research associates appropriately selected high skilled migration with innovation, productivity, and knowledge diffusion, but also shows that gains depend on selection, mobility, complementary domestic skills and institutions that convert co-presence into learning.

Taken together, the findings suggest value in considering whether a more explicit managed-complementarity model could improve the coordination of Saudization, capability development, and selective access to international expertise. This does not imply that such complementarity is currently absent: firms and labor market institutions already adjust organically or on a case-by-case basis, while Saudization remains the principal instrument for expanding national opportunity and flexibility is applied where Saudi capabilities are not yet available at the required occupation, specialization, or seniority level. The central question is whether these decentralized adjustments will be sufficient to meet the pace and complexity of emerging demand. A more structured approach could preserve Saudization as the foundation of national capability development while using evidence on scarcity and experience to identify areas where selective migration, retention, and workplace knowledge exchange may provide complementary value. This would connect migration policy more closely to the Kingdom's human-capital strategy, allowing expatriate expertise to address clearly identified capability gaps while Saudi professionals accumulate the experience required for increasingly complex technical and leadership roles.